



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,587	12/28/2004	Michael Weber	PD020057	3088
24498 IOSEPH I L.A	7590 05/24/2007 AKS, VICE PRESIDENT		EXAMINER	
THOMSON LICENSING LLC			NOONAN, WILLOW W	
PATENT OPERATIONS PO BOX 5312		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			2109	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/519,587	WEBER, MICHAEL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Willow Noonan	2109			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
•	•	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/28/2004</u> .					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "according to one of the claim 1" requires appropriate correction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lea et al.

Regarding claim 1, Lea teaches a sink device with a local display (see Lea, p. 37, Device Classification) for connection to a digital IEEE 1394 network (see Lea, p. 35, Supporting Technologies). Lea further teaches that the device may have a means for displaying a user interface for controlling a data source device connected to the network. See Lea, p. 42, col. 1. Lea also teaches that the device has a means for controlling network resource allocation and for automatically establishing, upon selection of a function of the source device by the user through the user interface, a connection between the data source device and the data sink device as default destination device of the connection. See Lea, p. 42, col. 1. Lea teaches that said sink

Application/Control Number: 10/519,587

Art Unit: 2109

device may have playback capability of the data of the source device (e.g., DTV receiver). See Lea, p. 37, Device Classification.

Regarding claim 2, Lea teaches that the connection is an isochronous transmission connection comprising allocation of a channel of bandwidth. See Lea, p. 42, col. 1.

Regarding claim 3, Lea teaches that the controlling means of the sink device carries out a check for an existing connection from the source device to another sink device (see Lea, p. 42, col. 1, "aware of any conflict over device use"), and that in the affirmative, a connection is not automatically set up between the source device and the sink device (see Lea, p. 42, col. 1, "users . . . can settle the issue among themselves out of band").

Regarding claim 4, Lea teaches that the function can be a playback function.

See Lea, p. 40, DDI Controller, "play button."

Regarding claim 5, Lea teaches that the source device may comprise a storage means. See Lea, p. 35, col. 1, paragraph 1. It is inherent that this storage means may be used for storing data to be transmitted and that it may be responsive to controls for starting and stopping reading from the storage means.

Regarding claim 6, Lea teaches that the function may be a selection function of the source device. See Lea, p. 42. col. 1, "user selects particular DCM."

Regarding claim 7, Lea teaches that the source device may comprise a data generation means adapted to the continuous output of data. See Lea, p. 39, col. 1, Stream Manager.

Regarding claim 8, Lea teaches that the device further comprises memory for storing software (see Lea, p. 36, col. 1, "acts as a host for a controlled device by running a software proxy") downloaded from the source device (see Lea, p. 36, col. 2, "uploaded DCM originates from an external source", p. 40, col. 2, "can extract this havlet from the DCM, . . . and load and execute the havlet") wherein said software is adapted to control the automatic establishment of the connection between the source device and the sink device (see Lea, p. 36, col. 1, "specifies communication between it and the DCM") and wherein the user interface is derived from said software (see Lea, p. 40, col. 1, DDI Controller).

Regarding claim 9, Lea explicitly teaches that the software is a HAVi Havlet and the network is a HAVi network. See Lea, p. 40, col. 2.

Regarding claim 10, Lea teaches a method for setting up a data stream connection in a digital network comprising a source device and a sink device, said method comprising the steps of:

executing a user interface on the sink device;

selecting a function of the source device through the user interface, characterized by the step of establishing a connection for data transmission from the data source device to the data sink device as default destination device of the connection, wherein said sink device has playback capability of the data of the source device. See Lea, p. 41, col. 2, A home network shell.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,587

Art Unit: 2109

Page 5

Regarding claim 11, Lea further teaches that the user interface may be derived from software downloaded by the sink device from the source device. See Lea, p. 40, *The havi.ui package*, p. 41, col. 2, "instantiate the DCM's havlet."

Regarding claim 12, Lea explicitly teaches that the software is a HAVi Havlet and the network is a HAVi network. See Lea, p. 40, col. 2.

Regarding claim 13, Lea teaches that the downloaded software controls the establishment of the connection. See Lea, p. 42, col. 1, "instantiate the DCM's havlet," p. 36, col. 1, "vendor of the controlled device specifies communication between it and the DCM."

Regarding claim 14, Lea teaches that the sink device carries out a check for an existing connection from the source device to another sink device (see Lea, p. 42, col. 1, "aware of any conflict over device use"), and that in the affirmative, a connection is not automatically set up between the source device and the sink device (see Lea, p. 42, col. 1, "users . . . can settle the issue among themselves out of band").

Regarding claim 15, Lea teaches that the function can be a playback function (see Lea, p. 40, *DDI Controller*, "play button") and that the source device may comprise a storage means (see Lea, p. 35, col. 1, paragraph 1).

Claim 16 is rejected for all the reasons above because Lea teaches the sink device as described above and therefore also teaches the data source device. See also Lea, p. 41, A home network shell (describing this device and its functioning).

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willow Noonan whose telephone number is (571) 270-1322. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30 AM-5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Willow Noonan www

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2100